



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,756	04/08/2004	Bradley E. Johanson	S03-093	2458
30869 7590 09/15/2009				
LUMEN PATENT FIRM 350 Cambridge Suite 100 PALO ALTO, CA 94306			EXAMINER	
TIV, BACKHEAN				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2009 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/821,756	Applicant(s) JOHANSON ET AL.	
	Examiner BACKHEAN TIV	Art Unit 2451	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) BACKHEAN TIV. (3) Ron Jacobs(50,142).
 (2) _____. (4) Bradley Johanson(Inventor).

Date of Interview: 09 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: CS Tech Report 2000.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inventor described the invention, and in particular that the fields of said events comprises a post value and a template value, and how the CS Report does not teach both. It appears that the prior art does teach both the post value and the template value but they do not use them together as described in the claims, however, further consideration of the references is necessary and/or prior art search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451
--	---